1		2	3	4	5
7	Kerala	0.00	7.80	0.00	0.00
8	Madhya Pradesh	61.47	18.68	0.00	61 31
9	Maharashtra	69.81	16.04	66.18	61.94
10	Orissa	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	Punjab	86.32	16.37	0.00	45.03
12	Rajasthan	109.14	0.00	0.00	109.34
13	Tamil Nadu	91 42	20.51	0.00	67.18
14	Uttar Pradesh	82 81	0 00	0.00	65.69
15	West Bengal	81.80	69.23	0.00	81.23
16	Meghalaya	0.00	24.82	0.00	24.82
17	Assam	190.08	0.00	0.00	150.84
	Total SEBs	82.84	16.74	70.26	63.87
Cost of Generation Per MWH (Rs.)		828.44	167.42	702.60	636.66

Statement—II

Statement showing the selling price to the State Electricity Boards from Nuclear Power Stations.

	Station	Rate (Ps/kwh)	Effective Date
1	Tarapur	57.00	1-12-1992
2	RAPS	60.80	June, 1992
3	MAPS	75.04	June, 1992
4	NAPS	140.96	1-2-1992

..Decline in plant load factor....

576. SHRI DILIP SINGH JUDEV: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) wether plant load factor in some power plants has gone down after renovation and modernisation during the last five years in the country state-wise;

(b) if so, the details of those plants and the reasons therefor; and

(c) the action taken by Government to increase the plant load factor of these plants?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) and (b). Out of 28 power plants, substantial R&M works in which have been completed during the last 3 years under Phase-I, the average Plant Load Factor (PLF) in respect of 14 Thermal Power Plants have gone down. The State-wise|plant-wise details are given in the Statement. (*See* below). The lower PLF of the above plants can however, not be attributed to the implementation of the renovation and modernisation schemes. The general factors reported to be responsible for poor informance of the above plants include frequent and long duration outages unduly long duration of planned maintenance, non-availability of inputs like coal, oil etc., backing down of units because of low system demand damage in fire and other accidents and un-economical operation and poor O&M practices.

(c) To bring about an improvement in the performance vigorous monitoring is being carried out by the Central Electricity Authority with the concerned agencies. The above problems are also being taken care of while formulating the Renovation and Modernisation Schemes (Phase-II).

Statement

State-wise and plant-wise details where average plant load factor have gone down

Sl. No	Name of State/Thermal Power Plant	Average PLF before R&M/After R&M	
State Sector			
I	*Assam*		
1	Namrup	42.0	30.19
II	*Bihar*		
2	Barauni	34.0	12.
III	*Gujarat*		
3	Dhuvaran	72.0	61.0
IV	*Haryana*		
4	Panipat	38.0	17.65
V	*Madhya Pradesh*		
5	Satpura	48.0	39.83
	Korba	56.0	43.8
7	Amarkantak	56.0	44.74
VI	*Orissa*		
8	Talcher	34.2	32.13
VII	*Uttar Pradesh*		
9	Panki	49.0	26.8
10	Harduaganj	32.0	26.9
II	*West Bengal*		
1	Bandel	52.0	4
2	Santaldih	30.0	25
Central Sector			
IX	*Damodar Valley Corporation*		
13	Durgapur	44.0	12.
X	*Neyveli Lignte Corporation*		
14	Neyveli	.70.6	55